

The Great Divorce

The repercussions of Brexit

Introduction

Though my opinion means nothing in this world, nevertheless I am often asked for it and I have already been asked questions about the recent UK/EU Referendum result. To avoid multiple emails saying the same thing, my purpose here is to outline my thoughts regarding this situation.

As friends will tell you I am not surprised by the vote. Despite political pundits and politicians stating that the turnout would be low and 'Remain' would win, I stated long ago that everyone was ignoring the non-voters and the old. All the polls missed this.

I averred that long time non-voters in General Elections would come out to vote for the first time in years and would mostly be older, wiser people and they would vote to leave. I also stated that in some parts of the UK the Leave vote could be as high as 80%. I was laughed at. I predicted a Brexit on the basis of the votes cast, but I did feel that the establishment would try to rig a Remain victory. This is my only surprise. It also begs the question, 'What is the Elite really doing in all this?'

The basic facts

- The EU referendum took place on 23 June 2016.
- The turnout was 72.21%; which is very high compared to a general election.
- Britain decided to leave the EU by a vote of 52% (17,410,742 votes) to 48% (16,141,241 votes), or a majority of over 1¼ million people.
- England voted 53.4% to leave vs. 46.6% to remain.
- Northern Ireland (55.8%), Gibraltar, the Scilly Isles and Scotland (62%) voted overwhelmingly to remain in the EU. All of which are small, or very small, populations.
- Only London, within England, voted to remain. All of England outside of London voted Brexit. West Midlands was highest, 59.3% for Brexit. The South East was the lowest margin, 51.8%.
- Some constituencies had a leave vote of over 70%, while Boston voted to leave by 80%.
- Wales (a strong socialist heartland) surprised everyone and rebelled against the Labour Party position, and despite being a net gainer from EU funding, voted to leave (52.5%).
- Many young people voted to remain due to their conditioning by the EU since infancy in the state education system.
- The vast majority of England outside of London voted against the parliamentary establishment. This is a massive kick against Westminster elitism.

Did I miss Armageddon?

The Remain fear factory insisted that all sorts of plagues would inflict Britain upon a leave vote. The sheer scale of the corporatist scare tactics became laughable, such as President of the European Council Donald Tusk, saying that western civilisation could be destroyed, or George Osborne threatening to have an emergency Brexit budget that would cut the NHS and disability benefits.

Did the heavens collapse and zombies rule the earth? Not at all. Of course the pound fell against the dollar but that is normal speculative behaviour in moments of political uncertainty. The collapse was emphasised but it soon regained much of its value. Within hours, by the end of the week, the FTSE index rose 2.4 points to its highest level for ages. Furthermore, banks that previously warned of economic collapse upon Brexit began to insist that this was a new opportunity to generate prosperity.

Brexit hasn't happened yet

The British people have voted by a significant majority to vote to leave the EU; that plebiscite must now be respected. However, there is no legal reason requiring a parliament to actually do this.

This was discussed by a group of MPs before the referendum. There are many ways that the establishment could scupper the wishes of the people.

What is required is a new parliamentary act repealing the act to join the EEC in 1972 (European Communities Act). Until this act has been repealed nothing has changed; EU law is still superior until Britain actually leaves. However, the EU is already acting on the basis of Brexit and is currently changing the status of the UK, such as eliminating our representation in committees and meetings. This is one-sided and not diplomatic. The heads of the EU have also demanded that Britain quits the EU quickly and activates Article 50 of the Lisbon Treaty; but Cameron has stated that this should not happen until a new PM is elected in October.

On top of that many people are demanding a new General Election since the ruling party has effectively received a national vote of no confidence. More on that later.

All these things take time and much could happen in that period. For example, a major war would put everything on hold. This is not impossible with the current tensions in the South China Sea and other places.

Then, even if the Commons repealed the EEC Act, the Lords could stop the bill being enacted. This could at least delay the enactment or possibly stop it entirely.

However, such things would cause massive anger in the electorate and perhaps the tensions are high enough for Britain, despite being a nation of queue-ers, to actually rebel.

But another issue is that if there were a swift General Election, and if a party came to power on a manifesto of staying in the EU, that would be seen as a mandate to stop Brexit in its tracks.

So, there is a lot of water to go under the bridge yet.

But presuming that the practicalities are worked out in the coming months and Britain actually leaves the EU, what other repercussions has this referendum triggered? In general we are seeing the opening up of chasms in the population. Was this an Elite goal all along?

The divorce of most of the electorate from the ruling establishment

Over 50% of the population has rebelled against the policy position of the government. What is worse, this rebellion is stronger when the statistics for England and Wales are subtracted from Scotland and N. Ireland.

Most Britons do not identify themselves as Scottish or Irish. Then the population of Scotland and N. Ireland are small within the scope of the UK. Indeed, it is now possible that both could go their own way in the world (see later). What is important for us is to examine the level of rebellion amongst Wales and England.

In parts of England the level of votes to leave were over 70% and even rose to 80%. High majorities occurred in the North East, the Midlands, Wales and the East Coast. This is unprecedented. Over 50% of the English population, and most of Wales, voted against the government. However, if London is subtracted (which voted Remain) the proportion is far higher. Essentially most of England outside London voted against the government in high numbers.

We have a dichotomy between what the people in most of England outside London want and what the supposedly representative government wants. What is becoming clear is that there is a clear divorce between:

- Those with money and those without money.
- Those with houses and those without houses.
- Those outside London and those in London.
- Those imposing austerity measures and those suffering from austerity measures.
- Those with good jobs and those without jobs or on low paid jobs and zero-hour contracts.
- Those isolated from the effects of immigration and those impacted by it.
- Those who can pay to get their kids into good schools and those who cannot get their kids into schools at all or into schools where English is the first language.

The divorce between the ruling class and the working people in England and Wales has never been greater. The EU is at the heart of this fracture since its policies are responsible for these effects, particularly open immigration.

It has taken a referendum to fully expose this fracture and it is a recipe for great danger. Subsequent governments have neglected the poor, weak, vulnerable and low paid and, at the same time, allowed immigrants to drive down wages, take homes and break the social infrastructure with increased demand but no extra finance.¹

The referendum was an opportunity for the disenfranchised to say that they are not going to take it anymore.

Whatever government takes power soon, austerity measures have to be addressed and changed. Houses have to be built as a matter of national priority. Schools need to be built

¹ This began with an admitted deliberate policy by Tony Blair to change the national demographic through open immigration (it was thought that Europeans would be left-wing).

and the NHS bolstered. These can partly be done with the money previously paid into the EU as a membership fee.²

The divorce of the Parliamentary Labour Party (PLP) from the grass roots membership of the Labour Party

Labour is in very serious trouble. Already there is an attempted putsch (coup) against Jeremy Corbyn, as if he was responsible for this dichotomy.

The problem is that the PLP has been a centrist, or even slightly right-wing, semi-Thatcherite party since the days of Peter Mandelson's New Labour. It has not been socialist for decades. Thatcher even gave her blessing to Tony Blair saying that the country was safe in his hands (i.e. would continue her Neo-Liberal policies).

The problem of the PLP with Jeremy Corbyn is that he is actually a socialist. This is why he was able to attract hundreds of thousands of new members to the party when Blair lost hundreds of thousands. But this puts him in open conflict with the Labour MPs in Westminster, which have been divorced from the grass roots for many years.³

Corbyn couldn't win in the run-up to the vote. For decades he had been a Eurosceptic and was known for voting in the lobby with anti-EU MPs. Despite his leanings, as party leader, he was forced to lead on the party line to Remain, putting him at odds with the Labour voters he was supposed to represent. The problem was the PLP, not Corbyn. Yet the PLP is now conspiring to force him to resign on the basis that he did not campaign with as much enthusiasm as they would have liked. Poor Corbyn.

After that Corbyn was forced to fire Hilary Benn who had told Corbyn that he had no confidence in his leadership. Upon hearing that, five other Shadow Cabinet ministers resigned. However, over 175,000 Labour members re-affirmed confidence in Corbyn's leadership in an online petition while Shadow Chancellor John McDonnell bolstered Corbyn's headship.

What is certain is that the PLP no longer represents the vast majority of Labour voters and unless Corbyn can remain in power and do something about this, the Labour Party is finished and can never get into power. At least a third of Labour voters chose Brexit against the instructions of the PLP.

What is really needed is a clean sweep with Corbyn leading a Socialist Labour Party while most of the remaining Labour MPs should admit their Blairite nature and form a new Social Democratic party. Of course no one would do this since they could never defeat a united Tory Party (but see next).

² The furore about the £350 m a week was complete nonsense. That is the actual figure we pay gross; that is just a fact. Of that there is a rebate; but this is not guaranteed every year and it varies. Then there are EU grants which pay some of that money back; but the British government has no control over these and the grants are often things the country does not want (such as Common Purpose or regional quangos). Notwithstanding, there is at least £8-10bn p.a. that would be an immediate windfall.

³ There are some exceptions, such as Denis Skinner.

The divorce within the Conservative Party

The Referendum has only opened up the scars of long sustained wounds within the Tories. Historically (Post-Heath) the Tories, at least in the shires, have been Eurosceptic. Yet, like the Labour Party, the Westminster Tory huddle has been pro-Europe.

This is best represented by Cameron himself. Cameron has long been a Eurosceptic and indeed could not have become MP for his constituency unless he openly avowed Euroscepticism. Over the years he was known as a Eurosceptic, his friends said that he was Eurosceptic and he wrote scathing papers about the EU. Yet politics forced him to be the champion of the Remain campaign, so that most things he said were either lies or sheer hypocrisy. Indeed, some of his statements were gigantic lies that have caused diplomatic problems.⁴

The Tories are split down the middle on the issue of the EU but worse, the 'Blue-on-Blue' in-fighting during the campaign was dreadful. Bitter invective and vitriol has been poured from one side to the other. Indeed, the greatest issue for the next Tory leader is not going to be withdrawal from the EU (that will mostly be done by diplomats) but uniting the Tory Party; if that is possible.

In reality, both the Labour Party and the Conservatives should split in two, at the same time. That would be better for democracy.

The divorce of Westminster politics from common sense and the needs of the nation

We have already commented on this in general; but the problems within the two main parties add to the toxic mix.

We are now at the stage where the whole parliamentary system needs overhaul. Indeed, this has actually been stated by media pundits already. The distance of MPs from constituents cannot continue in this vein. For years Westminster has been like a secluded village that was separated from normal humanity and enacted policies that enhanced the rich and the bankers at the expense of the vast majority of the population.

For years chancellors, and especially George Osborne, have told the country that the economy is doing better and that things are on the up. Meanwhile real, everyday Britons have had a wage freeze for 8 years. They have seen prices go up but their spending power reduced to that of decades ago. They have seen their local facilities closed down or greatly reduced. They have seen immigrants come and take homes while their children have been forced to stay at home unable to even afford rent, let alone buy a house.

They have seen relatives suffer from disability cuts and austerity measures. They have experienced great reductions in the availability of health care, either by the closure of hospitals, A&E departments or maternity units, or being unable to get a GP. They may also

⁴ Such as his affirmation that the claim that Turkey would soon join the EU was a lie and that any such accession to membership could not happen until at least the year 3000. In fact Cameron has been actively working in the EU on Turkey's behalf and was seen by the Turkish government as their best champion in the EU. The Foreign Office also has websites explaining how they are working hard for Turkey to gain membership. EU leaders have openly said that they wanted Turkey in as soon as the problems could be ironed out. The Prime Minister of Turkey appeared on Newsnight explaining how deeply upset they were over Cameron's about face.

have seen appalling treatment given to mental health patients in the shocking lack of funds allotted to this urgent case.⁵

They have probably had difficulties getting their children into a local school or a school where a sibling is present. Some will have been unable to get their child into a school where English is spoken. We could go on and on.

All of this, and more, has utterly divorced the majority of the electorate from the people supposed to be representing them. Westminster has become a political elite, a class of people trained for politics from Eton onwards, with no understanding of what real life is like. There is no connection between MPs and the working people they represent in all but a few cases.

The answer

In a better world the best thing to happen would be this.

The Queen, now freed from EU shackles, should step in and declare that the parliamentary system is corrupt and useless and bring in proper representation. All political parties, and the Whip system, should then be banned by law established by the Queen.

Constituencies should then vote in an independent candidate to Westminster. After this the Commons should then elect a cabinet on the basis of individual merit. Then the cabinet should elect the PM and officers on the basis of merit alone. Subsequently, all laws should be debated in an open house with no political party bias whatsoever.

This would ensure proper democracy and true representation; thus it will never happen.

The divorce of the young from the old

It is affirmed that as much as 75% of young people voted to remain.

The divorce of the young from the old is a tragic outcome of the referendum, but I hope one that will be mollified over time as the young see England rise in prosperity and opportunities increase for them. The reality is that the prosperity and mobility of the young could be much better than it has been under the EU, but the establishment spin has brainwashed them to believe the reverse.

Some individuals have expressed the most facile comments imaginable on social media. Various people have suggested that they will no longer be able to take holidays in Europe while some have even believed that a wall will be erected between Britain and Europe (where, in the Channel?). Many have suggested that those who voted for Brexit were stupid.

The media must admit guilt in this spreading of discontent as it has pumped up the importance of the EU to young people and has exploited them in their dismay at the referendum result. Whole TV entertainment programmes were devoted to exploiting the anger of young people but none to the celebrations of the Brexit supporters.⁶

⁵ For example some will have seen teenagers needing a mental health care bed being sent hundreds of miles away because there are none locally.

⁶ E.g the hour long, 'Last leg in, last leg out' on Channel 4. This was one long rant against the outcome purporting to be the valid expression of young people that had been done a disservice by democracy.

It is very odd that the very people who claim to support democracy, true representation, liberalism, and inclusiveness have rejected the very clear democratic expression of working people against the establishment because they disagree with it.

The levels of anger and anxiety manifested in young people on social media have been shocking. It is almost as if most young people are victims of some kind of mass hysteria. Press articles have done them no favours by endorsing their outrage – an outrage against democracy.⁷

Young people have attacked Brexiteers wearing Union Flag hats in the street while over 3 million Remainers have signed a petition to Parliament demanding a new referendum – a really crass thing for supposedly pro-democracy people to do. Others have called for a law to stop older people voting because they disagree with young people and won't be there in the future. This is fascistic and an insult to the generation of older people that fought for the liberty of Britain in WWII. There is really something very wrong with the young Remain reaction for such things to occur; though it has to be said that it is not all young people but privileged, wealthier young people, primarily in the South East.

The bitter rants make no sense; such as:

- *'A generation given everything: free education, golden pensions, social mobility, have voted to strip my generation's future'* (Twitter). Why? The claim of Brexiteers is that the future for all (including the young) will be better, not worse.
- *'The younger generation has lost the right to live and work in 27 other countries. We will never know the full extent of lost opportunities, friendships, marriages and experience we will be denied. Freedom of movement was taken away by our parents, uncles and grandparents in a parting blow to a generation that was already drowning in the debts of its predecessor's.'* (Financial Times website comments.) Why? People from outside the EU come and work here all the time. People from Britain go and work around the globe, especially in America. People went to live in Europe long before the EU existed (note the Durrells living in Greece in the 1930s or Lord Byron 200 years ago). This is just scaremongering over nothing at all, sheer nonsense. The real anger is against austerity, something that is EU policy that has been inflicted upon member states. This person should be celebrating.

Irrational politicians only added to this discontent, Tim Farron, Lib Dem leader, said, *'Young people voted to remain by a considerable margin, but were outvoted. They were voting for their future, yet it has been taken from them'*. Their future was under a tyrannical, anti-democratic system committed to the rich elite, which wanted the destruction of nation states and the development of a federal superstate run by unelected bureaucrats.⁸ The referendum was a truly democratic exercise demanding freedom from this despotism. Why would the young complain about this; unless they had been brainwashed? Tim Farron should be ashamed of himself.

All I can hope for is that all this fear will eventually be shown to be foolish as prosperity opens up for young people as business booms, released from EU red tape, and global markets are opened up that were hampered by EU trade laws. As for travelling and

⁷ E.g. The Guardian, Rhiannon Lucy Cosslett, 'If you're young and angry about the EU referendum, you're right to be', 24 June 2016.

⁸ Boris Johnson's much reviled reference to Hitler was actually apposite. The federal basis of a European union, gathered together after the war under German leadership, was planned by prominent Nazis from 1943. They even called this superstate the European Economic Community.

working abroad, the worst that could happen is the requirement to get a work permit; visa-free travel for 90 days already exists for nations outside the EU.

So much anger over nothing at all!

The divorce of Scotland from the UK

Nicola Sturgeon, Scotland's First Minister, has already initiated diplomatic negotiations with the EU to try to keep Scotland in the EU. However, that will first need Scotland to declare independence from Britain. At the moment Scotland is a possession of the Crown and the SNP have no ability to rejoin the EU, nor yet a mandate to do so.

Then she said that she would try to veto the Brexit decision, even though there is no law that enables her to do something so totalitarian against the wishes of UK people. The level of anti-democratic virulence manifested by those in the Remain campaign is very shocking.

Note this: a recent Scottish referendum resulted in support for Scotland to remain in the UK. The very recent UK referendum gave support to leave the EU. Both were truly democratic institutions; yet Sturgeon is rebelling against both by acting in a manner opposite to democratic votes.

While it is true that a majority of voters in Scotland chose to remain in the EU, there is no evidence that a similar majority would vote to leave Britain; in fact the evidence is to the contrary.

But all of this is talk at the moment, mere huff and puff.

If Scotland chooses to leave Great Britain, then let Scotland do so. They will soon find themselves in one heck of a mess.

Scotland receives back from Westminster more of taxpayer's money that it contributes in Scottish taxes. In fact, this is a source of discontent since Scottish people have benefits that English people do not, but paid for by English taxpayers. These include free care homes for the elderly (without selling your house), free childcare and free tuition fees for students.

If Scotland had decided to leave Britain two years ago then it would already have a deficit of over £12bn, mainly due to the catastrophic collapse of oil prices. In any case, North Sea oil will not last forever and Scotland would struggle to maintain a balance of payments. It could easily find itself as the sick man of Europe, which it was for centuries before union with England in 1603.⁹

Worse than that, a renegotiated membership deal for Scotland would necessitate joining the Euro (that is a requirement for new members). Since the Eurozone is collapsing before our very eyes, and will completely collapse in the near future, Scotland would be in as bad a state as Greece, Portugal, Italy and Spain are now: huge deficits, dwindling GDP, massive unemployment (particularly youth unemployment) and requiring bailouts for which austerity would be applied.

If they wish to go, let them go, but Scottish people ought to be given the full facts first.

⁹ It was amalgamated with England as a result of the union of the Crowns in 1603 and of the Parliaments in 1707. In 1997 the Scots voted in favour of the establishment of a devolved parliament.

The potential unification of Ireland and its divorce from the UK

Exiting the EU, when it is applied, would mean that Northern Ireland (which voted to remain) would have a land border with the EU on its boundary with Eire. This could mean the re-establishment of border checkpoints. Thus there is the irony of N. Ireland and Eire wanting to be in the EU but N. Ireland also wants to be in the UK and not part of Eire.

Sinn Fein has already called for a unification process to begin to unite Ireland. The north wanted in and the south is in. There is some sense in this. However, unification would be against the wishes of the greater majority of Northern Irish people and a vote could never secure a N. Ireland separation from the UK.

What this means is greater tension as politicians and activists seek to utilise the opportunity afforded. However, in the long term I doubt that much will happen. If border controls were established not much would change, but there would be queues at the border unless special arrangements were allowed by the EU Commission.

The establishment of regions within the EU

This is a real danger according to some, but something unlikely to happen unless something goes seriously wrong.

The EU has always had regions established in the UK which were eventually to have been the governing bodies of Britain within an EU sovereign federal state. These all have names and some include the south of England united with northern France.¹⁰ The purpose was the destruction of Britain as a separate entity within Europe. These regions have worked secretly alongside county councils for years, despite being unelected officials. They are paid for out of VAT and most Britons don't even know that they exist.

Some think that that plan could be fully effected as a result of the referendum.

Some activists in London have already called for the separation of London from Britain so that it could remain a part of Europe. Scotland is hoping to get back into the EU and Northern Ireland wants to. If the powers that be had their way this may be facilitated, but I think that: a) it is highly unlikely; b) there is no mandate for this; c) it would be against the wishes of the majority and d) it would be impossible to administer (apart from Scotland which could sever ties with England).

However, this is something to keep an eye on.

¹⁰ The Arc Manche is an EU area consisting of individual councils that work together already, spanning southern England and north-western France. Kent County Council, for example, has given ratepayer's money to the Nord-Pas de Calais region. The area is all of the south of England joined to the north east of France. The EU plan called 'Interreg' aims to create a 'Europe of the Regions' (a super-state) that eradicates ancient boundaries and destroys member nationhood. In this plan Britain is divided into three main regions, all of which are joined to other countries. The east of England is joined to Scandinavia ('North Sea' region). The west is joined to western France and Spain ('Atlantic' region). The south is joined to northern France ('Transmanche' region). In addition Wales is joined to Ireland ('Ireland/Wales' region) while northern Scotland is joined to northern Scandinavia ('North Atlantic' region). Each region is to have its own assembly and flag. Why was this never discussed in the campaigns?

What was the Elite goal?

This is the big question: was the Elite taken by surprise at this decision or did it plan it all along?

Needless to say that alternative media and conspiracy theorists have lined up on both sides. It has to be said that the more moderate pundits believe it was a shock to the Elite while more wacky conspiracy theorists hold that it was all planned.

Some are saying that Brexit was allowed in order to be a scapegoat for all the bad things that are about to happen: global economic meltdown and World War III being the most important. Essentially, anything against the establishment order in the coming months will be blamed on Brexit. That may well be the case but it is still hard to believe that this was planned. It may well be that the establishment tried to rig the vote but found the large majority too much to cope with (80% in some places).

The fact is that we can never know. We do know that Brexit was on the agenda of the recent Bilderberger meeting in Dresden, so no doubt they have contingency plans ready.

What is needed is to seek proper governance on the basis of the majority vote. This must mean that a Brexiteer must be the next Prime Minister and that solid Brexit politicians must lead the EU negotiations, not civil service mandarins that got us into this mess with their deception in the 70s. If we fail to get these we could find that we do not get a proper independence from the EU but a hopeless comprised hodgepodge.

Conclusion

The Remain camp continued its doom and gloom project fear even after the votes were collated. Pundits were affirming that the markets would collapse completely in a 2008 type crash and that the fall of the pound indicated this. Some suggested that Cameron had caused the separation of Scotland from England and N. Ireland from the UK as well as splitting from the EU. Others predicted that businesses would move to Europe after one small firm announced that it would do this. The fear factor went into overdrive.

Not only that, the young went ballistic in the most pathetic fashion demonstrating that there is something seriously wrong with our youth (due to EU brainwashing since infants).¹¹

Vile things were said about Nigel Farage, over and over again, even on TV programmes. Tweets from young people were so extreme that some stated that they would kill themselves or admitted to be very scared. It was as if instead of a democratic revolution we had just experienced a zombie apocalypse. Indeed, some actually derided democracy, including certain pop stars and celebrities. This is really serious; people that supposedly affirm human rights claimed that democracy had failed the country. This is basically pique and selfishness. How can a high turnout referendum fail democracy?

In fact everything began to stabilise very quickly. The pound fell, as expected due to speculation, but within 36 hours began to climb back quite significantly. The FTSE

¹¹ The EU has always spent tens of billions a year on propaganda. Much of this propaganda is directed into the education system where kids from the age of five are peppered with conditioning to support the EU and deny nationalism.

increased to record levels. Many business leaders proclaimed that a new wave of prosperity would begin when businesses could expand their markets globally without EU restrictions and without EU red tape. They affirmed this even as their share prices fell 20%. The head of Wetherspoons announced that when his company share price collapsed in the 2008 crash, it actually initiated a two-year boom of prosperity. Further, global companies lined up to hopefully initiate new trade deals without EU restrictions.

Of course uncertainty about deals, trade and markets will cause some difficulty in the short term but the long-term prospects are much better than ever before. Why young people should feel threatened is a mystery.

The fears of immigrants are also unfounded. Why would any government send all immigrants back home when the country needs their labour, especially in the NHS. No government is going to do that, yet this is being speculated on the BBC.

It is probable that nothing much will change for two years anyway, other than the British heads of EU departments being deposed, as has already occurred with Lord Hill¹² resigning the Commission as head of financial services. Any sensible government will have already made new trade deals with the rest of the world by that time so that we could expand our markets significantly.

The Biblical position

When Satan began to coalesce the nations into a single entity centred upon rebellion to God in Old Babylonia, the empire of Nimrod centred its revolt in building the tower of Babel as a symbol of the heights that man could reach. This was part of an affirmation of the godhood of man ruling a confederacy of nation states and it is where the concept of the deification of kings began as part of the rebellion against God.¹³

We all know what happened next. God intervened and destroyed the Nimrod Empire by separating the languages of mankind and initiating the tribalism of men that continues to this day. Some tribes that had technological expertise quickly gained ascendancy while other resorted to becoming hunter gathers leading a stone-age existence; some for millennia.¹⁴ The tribalism carried the characteristics and geographic locations of the three sons of Noah.

This tribalism is how societies work. No matter how many men try to unify tribes and eradicate nationalism, the ancient character continues to surface. The tribalism is there because it was instituted by God at Babel. Thus every historic empire failed to unify the subservient races and ancient tribalism reasserted itself eventually. For example, the various Celtic tribes came to ascendancy after the demise of the Roman Empire, forming their own kingdoms.

Satan continued to try to gather nations into empires throughout history and failed every time because God put a restraint upon his activities. However, this restraint is loosed at the very end when a totalitarian global empire will emerge. I have explained this in many papers.

¹² Baron Hill of Oareford.

¹³ This occult system, initially based upon Nimrod as the sun god and his wife as the moon goddess, was the basic pattern for all future mythological pantheons.

¹⁴ E.g. Australian Aborigines continued living a stone age experience until very recently. Some tribes in South America and Borneo etc. still do. The stone age living had nothing to do with the slow development of man from primitive to technological; pre-deluvian men were far cleverer than men today with far less corruption of the gene pool.

The point is that the tribalism of nations is natural and stems from God. It cannot be squashed and nations need to learn to live with each other and celebrate otherness.

The plans of Satan today are most clearly seen in the globalism and corporatism of the Elite, which is trying to make the world a homogenous mixture under its control. Thus all attempts at global control (through politics, economics, industry, education etc.) are part of this satanic rebellion against God's order.


The EU has always been a vital part of this satanic attempt to unify nations and squash tribalism into a forced homogeneity. It was initiated by the Elite after WWI; funded by the CIA in the 40s-50s and the Eurozone project was determined by the Bilderbergers in 1955. It was always a project to create a federal superstate and was always called the 'United States of Europe', but these words were deleted from treaty drafts to avoid fanning dissent.¹⁵ Its development was based upon deception so that its goals could be met in acceptable stages (such as a 'Common Market'). These hidden goals were: the destruction of nation member states; the destruction of democracy; the rule of an unelected cabal; a complete federal, fiscal, monetary, political union devoted to supporting corporate banking and business, and of course supporting Elite aims at gradual world control.

Brexit has been a rise of natural tribalism¹⁶ that seeks its own sovereignty and is a massive attack on distant, unrepresentative politics; corporatism; un-democratic institutions; globalism; and the New World Order agenda.

Final word

We don't know what the future holds, but Christians are satisfied that God does and is in control. What we do know is that governments are called by God to defend natural law and govern the people responsibly.

The referendum is an unusual example of the exercise of democracy and thus must be accepted and acted upon by whatever government is in power soon. The more Britain is able to reverse bad EU laws, including the many thousands that restrict business (even businesses that do not trade with Europe) the closer we will be to obeying God. However, if future governments use this as an opportunity for more bad laws and increasing despotism, then God's anger will spill over into judgment.



¹⁵ See my paper, 'Exiting the EU' for an analysis of the history.

¹⁶ In fact, Britain is a natural, historic union of tribes that have coalesced over time through absorption. The basis of Britain is ancient Britons (Albion = Trojans), Celts, plus Picts, plus Saxons, plus Teutons, plus Scandinavian Vikings, plus French Vikings (Normans), plus Huguenots, plus modern Commonwealth citizens. Tribes can be absorbed into each other but they cannot be politically forced together (NB the USSR failure).